

To subscribe please register on....

<http://groups.google.com/group/Hindurakshak>

# हिन्दू-रक्षा पत्रिका

Issue 2



Send this Patrika to all Hindu's who are clouded like Arjun

<http://groups.google.com/group/Hindurakshak>

To subscribe please register on....

<http://groups.google.com/group/Hindurakshak>

---

## Index of this edition

---

- **Preface and Argument** – *(Economic and Social Warfare)*
- **Quota of evangelical vituperation** - *By Balbir K. Punj*
- **Amar Singh's alleged conversations - DNA**
- **The Nehru Dynasty** - *(Book Review)*
- **This is how we treat the infidels in Saudi Arabia:** - *(Khalid on www.faithfreedom.org)*
- **Organisational Introduction - FINS**

To subscribe please register on....

<http://groups.google.com/group/Hindurakshak>

---

## **Preface and Argument**

*(Economic and Social Warfare)*

---

Simple cartoons have prompted the whole Islamic world to boycott Danish goods and even people in some instances. Do we really get any message out of it, if yes what?

Every one knows what the Islamic world is doing in Bharat, can the same be repeated towards Muslim produced goods. The core reason of why I am brainstorming on Economic and social boycott is as simple as understanding what share of every Muslim goes to the local Mosques? And what kind of activities the local mosques of this country are indulged in?

Would you like to carry this argument forward and pose your views and analysis on the same?

**Please do interact in this small analysis. As primarily this is the reason we do not prosper together as religion, because we do not interact.**

I would also like to emphasize on getting your-self on

<http://groups.google.com/group/Hindurakshak>

As it would be difficult for me to send information to thousands of Karyakartha by searching email ID's. Also please give you writings on various subjects you know of so that this Patrika can take shape of information Digest for Hindus.

**Our next patrika is totally dedicated to World most peaceful religion**

**!!! ISLAM !!!**

**Please give your writings or articles on the same.**

**Hindu Rakshak Patrika will be published on 2<sup>nd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> Friday every month please support for this cause.**

## **Quota of evangelical vituperation**

*By Balbir K. Punj*

AT a time the Muslim ummah was up in arms for cartoons on Prophet Mohammed published Jyellands-Posten in Denmark, Hindus seem to have swallowed a large quota of evangelical vituperation with minimal protests. Parliament condemned the cartoons, the editor of Senior India who had published them was arrested, and Muslim protest rallies were allowed to vent their ire on Hindu shops in Hyderabad and BJP office in Lucknow.

A minister of Uttar Pradesh government who announced contract-killing money of Rs 51 crore was left undisturbed in his constitutional office. The 'anxieties' and 'concerns' of Christian community in Dang, Gujarat over Shabri Kumbh got place in national media. But the outrage against scurrilous evangelical literature in Kota, Rajasthan virtually evaded nation's notice.

**Hakikat (The Reality), a substandard evangelical literature in Hindi, written by M.C. Mathew, and published by Dr. D.M. Thomas and Samuel Thomas of Emmanuel Literature Society, was source of major tension in Kota, Rajasthan recently. The book contains many vulgar references to Lord Ram and Krishna, Hindu saints like Ramakrishna, Swami Vivekananda, Sri Aurobindo, Swami Dayanand Saraswati, Satya Sai Baba, Ma Amritanandamayi, Digambar Jain saints, in addition to objectionable reference to Ganga, Vande Mataram, and Arya Samaj, ISKCON etc. The book is subtitled as an analytical study of 'Bunch of Thoughts' by Guru Golwalkar.**

On page 100 it comments about Sri Ram—"Ram's wife Sita was been taken away. She was left in forest according to wish of Ram. Later Ram permitted Laxman to kill Sita. This Laxman was defeated in fight by Ram, and later Ram disgusted with his life drowned himself in Saryu. All this happened with advice, consent and religious belief of half-naked sadhus of Hindutva." Elsewhere in p. 269 it says: "In modern world many Ramas of this belief are living carefree. They marry several times, desert their wives, marry several times, and leave them. Many Ramas kill their Sitas. They are following their god Rama."

**But pray, why Vatican is still clinging to dead Latin in its services? Why Ratzinger on becoming Pope, addressed the international crowd first in Latin, which nobody understands?**

Did Sri Ram ever depute any one to kill Sita? Might be Mr. Mathew knows better than the author of Ramayana. We knew that Sita was left in Sage Vashishta's cottage in sylvan forest. Sri Ram, felt that he was a king first, husband later, and when Ayodhya's people had indirectly cast doubt over Sita's chastity, she should be left, even to Sri Ram's great agony. May be Sri Ram was overreacting, but he was more alive to people's concern.

The divorce and profligacy rate is much higher in Western (Christian) countries. History records that Popes had illegitimate children. Can we attribute this to Jesus Christ, who as Dan Brown has found out was married to Mary Magdalene but left her? Neither Hinduism, nor Christianity, has divorce, but it is obtainable under secular law in India as in any western country. I don't know if Ramayana has incited people to do single wicked act. Ramayana also elevated people's consciousness. It is one book, which we are never tired of living. Father Kamil Bulke, of Belgium, spent his lifetime researching on Ramayana-literature.

In Gospel, according to St. Matthew (12:47-48) when a disciple of Jesus informs him that his mother and brother have come to meet him, Jesus says "Who is my mother, and who are my brethren". In Western countries most parents end their life in old age homes, left by their children. One might then say, they owe their inspiration from Jesus, who refused to acknowledge his mother and brother, once he got popularity. Jesus himself has never said he was son of God, but always son of Man. To install Jesus as son of God was the work of First Council of Nicea held in 325 CE. But Hindus have never leveled such scurrilous charges against Jesus. Is this not enough to prove who is acting godly and who otherwise.

About Vande Mataram it says-"For India the only use of Sanskrit is by Hindutvawadis to sing Vande Mataram". Vande Mataram is a wonderful hymn to Mother India, even Universal Motherhood, which is also enshrined in our Constitution as national song. Polyglot Indologist Sir William Jones has this to say about Sanskrit: "Sanskrit language is of wonderful structure, more perfect than Greek, more copious than the Latin."

Perhaps Mr. Mathew need to brush up his general knowledge on rich corpus of original dramas, poetry, songs, melodies, spiritual, medical, mathematical, astronomical and architectural literature created in Sanskrit. Thanks to 'Secularism' Sanskrit is not popularised, but people can understand every word of Vande Mataram. Moreover Sanskrit is the mother of most Indian languages, and itself has a mantric effect. If recited properly it has a healing and salutary effect on listeners like the chanting of OM. But pray, why Vatican is still clinging to dead Latin in its services? Why Ratzinger on becoming Pope, addressed the international crowd first in Latin, which nobody understands?

About Arya Samaj it makes most indecent remark that could make your blood boil. It says: "How could Arya Hindus bring Aryanisation on this earth. To be Arya, one has to be born in Arya womb... If Arya Hindus want to bring Aryanisation then they will must lend or rent out all Arya womb to non-Aryans. Non-Aryans should be given Brahmin women so that children are born from Brahmin womb" (p.182-183). Now, here Mathew proves himself a cross between mare and donkey (remember, what's that creature called?). Arya means noble, nothing to do with fictitious 'Aryan race', postulated by early Indologist or spoken by Hitler. Hindus have been debunking this theory since its conception. Did

To subscribe please register on....

<http://groups.google.com/group/Hindurakshak>

---

Romans become Roman Catholic by cross-breeding? By Arya, we mean noble and virtuous qualities that any body could adopt. Arya Samaj was in the forefront of debunking casteism, untouchability, and other social ills. In Roman Empire, every body whether he is Greek, Assyrian, Phoenician, was called Roman. Original Romans (Italians) formed a minority in the empire. Before them all people who adopted Hellenic (Greek) values became 'Hellenistic'. Alexander, Seleucus, Ptolemy, Antigonus were Macedonians not Greeks. Yet they are the greatest Hellenic figures of history.

There is indirect attack on the very concept of India's national unity where it says 'Muslims were of frank ideas and brave that they could demand a separate national state Pakistan for themselves.' May I add few more recent examples of frankness and braveness of Muslims? 9/11, killing of Christians and burning of churches in Nigeria, and conversion of Yusuf Youhana, Rs 51 crore prize on head of Danish cartoonists. The writer, M.C. Mathew, for your information is recipient of Padma Shree award by Government of India!

**(The writer, a Rajya Sabha MP, and Convener of BJP's Think Tank)**

## **Amar Singh's alleged conversations**

*DNA*

---

*We do not have the means to verify whether these recordings are genuine or not.  
We urge readers to draw their own conclusions*

### **Alleged conversation with Mulayam Singh Yadav on sugar prices**

Mulayam: Hello.

Amar: I forgot to tell you one thing.

Mulayam: What?

Amar: About the price of sugar.

Mulayam: Yes?

Amar: It should be a rupee more than the price in Uttaranchal.

Mulayam: How much is it in Uttaranchal?

Amar: I think they have raised it by 7 rupees there.

Mulayam: So should we raise it by 8 rupees?

Amar: No, they were saying that the total price will go up to 112 there, so it will be better if we raise it to 113.

Mulayam: Ok. I will make it 113.

Amar: 113 - 119, but they were saying make it 114 and 120.

Mulayam: No make it 114.

Amar: Will make it 114.

Mulayam: Yes.

Amar: 114 and 120 for the other.

Mulayam: What?

Amar: 114 and 120.

Mulayam: 114.

Amar: 120 is of two kinds.

Mulayam: Yes I know that.

Amar: 114 — he was right in saying that.

Mulayam: Yes...?

Amar: He was saying that if the Kisans are upset then they have to be pacified.

Mulayam: What is left?

Amar: What is left?

Mulayam: No let's just do 114.

Amar: Yes.

Mulayam: And the other one at 120.

Amar: Yes the other one at 120. By the way what he was saying was that...

Mulayam: The 112 one he wants to be raised by 8 Rupees.

Amar: The 112 one.

Mulayam: The 112 is the last year one, all that was 100.

Amar: Yes.

Mulayam: He wants that raised to 112.

Amar: Yes.

Mulayam: Not clear.  
Amar: Yes.  
Mulayam: That's the one.  
Amar: Yes that is the one.  
Mulayam: He wants the 105 raised to 114.  
Amar: And the 114 to 120.  
Mulayam: Yes 120.  
Amar: And one 120.  
Mulayam: It is alright.  
Amar: What do you think, should it be done?  
Mulayam: Yes it should be done.  
Amar: Yes.  
Mulayam: Who knows... Elections are there.

### **Alleged conversation with Mulayam Singh Yadav on the judiciary**

Mulayam: For the hearing on the 12th, we should get a very good lawyer. Don't trust Bhatia.  
Amar: What's happening on the 12th? Which one is it?  
Mulayam: You have forgotten it. There is a three-judge bench.  
Amar: Oh the GDA one.  
Mulayam: No, the one regarding the BSP MLA.  
Amar: One of the judges is very bad. Justice Kant.  
Mulayam: Forget it. We will see. If we lose then... Kant and Bhalla will be one.  
Amar: Kant... the one...  
Mulayam: Bhalla has come out openly against us...  
Amar: He has?  
Mulayam: Yes. He is openly against us. If he had given the judgment he would have been removed from the bench.  
Amar: Aap chup chap jaake Chief Justice se mil lijiye (You quietly go and meet the Chief Justice)  
Mulayam: The Chief Justice is...  
Amar: Yes.  
Mulayam: Chief Justice, Bhalla and Kant, there are three of them.  
Amar: No... No he is...  
Mulayam: Ok. I will do that.  
Amar: Apart from Kant, let there be somebody else.  
Mulayam: Now they won't do it. It has already been done.  
Amar: There is one more thing...  
Mulayam: He took the guarantee.  
Amar: Who?  
Mulayam: Not Bhalla but Bhatia.  
Amar: Then it is ok.  
Mulayam: Yes. Keep quiet.

### **Alleged conversation with Bollywood actor Bipasha Basu**

Amar: Hello.  
Bipasha: (Sing song voice)...Haallloooo...  
Amar: Hello...  
Bipasha: (Sing song voice) Hi...how are you...?  
Amar: (Happy sing song voice) I am fine.  
Bipasha: How've you been? We are talking after a long time right?  
Amar: Yes...  
Bipasha: You've been busy or something?  
Amar: Kaun...bip...  
Bipasha: Bipasha..Bipasha. ya..I saw you twice at that award function ha ha ha  
Amar: Really?  
Bipasha: So tell me...when are you meeting me?  
Amar: Where do you want to meet baby? I have been very busy.  
Bipasha: You busy?  
Amar: It is very tough.  
Bipasha: It is very tough...ha ha ha...OK.  
Amar: But I will make some time.  
Bipasha: OK sweetie...  
Amar: Very nice of you to have remembered me.  
Bipasha: (Laughs) I toh always remember you.  
Amar: An old man like me.  
Bipasha: Sorry...  
Amar: An old fossil like me...  
Bipasha: Old fossil like you...  
Amar: ya ya...  
Bipasha: I don't think age really matters... Does it?  
Amar: It matters between the legs na?  
Bipasha: (Bursts out laughing) Oh God...ha ha ha ha...all right then...you try removing time now... it's been almost a month now I've not met...  
Amar: Ya we will meet.  
Bipasha: OK... Right, keep in touch. Bye...

### **Alleged conversation with T Subbarami Reddy, MP, Congress**

Reddy: What a man, you spoke beautifully in Parliament. We all appreciated you are a brilliant man, you did a wonderful job.  
Amar: What to do, you guys removed me from the house.  
Reddy: Nobody removed. You lose your temper so fast-you are a Congressman.  
Amar: I went to Sonia's house but was beaten with shoes and made to leave the house.  
Reddy: I went to your house but you hurled so many abuses.  
Amar: Why are you talking about yourself you are a friend not Sonia Gandhi.  
Reddy: I came to your house with Ajit Jogi, you hurled so many abuses.  
Amar: I didn't abuse you. I abused the party.

Reddy: Abusing the party and me is the same.

Amar: The blackout on TV was not good.

Reddy: Who blacked out Amitabh Bachchan? Must have been political.

Amar: He was sent an invitation for Goa, and then told you are not required.

Reddy: I don't think invitation was given. What happened was Jaipal Reddy said that the Chief Minister invited them, I&B fellows did not know. They don't know.

Amar: There is a way to tackle all this, they should have said sorry to him.

Reddy: Amitabh Bachchan is a great man, he is a big man. Why this controversy?

Amar: He purposely went to Goa, I sent him.

Reddy: They are foolish. You see, what happens when Amitabh is on the platform

with Dev Anand, then it's a case of double dose .Soniaji is not involved in all this at all.

Amar: It is great if they keep insulting him like that. They he will campaign against them and for me in the elections.

Reddy: What insult and blackout are you talking of. I will see to it that it is not done.

Amar: The timing is perfect. He is in the ICU and the entire country is praying for him and then they do this.

Reddy: The Prime Minister sent him a message.

Amar: I thanked the Prime Minister and Dasmunshiji. I criticised only Sonia.

Reddy: Look, the Soniaji issue is a family thing. Both were very close. They feel that you have forgotten them after the death of Rajiv Gandhi. The family feels that after Rajivji's death, he has forgotten them. That is what I've heard, I maybe wrong but that's what I heard somewhere.

What is this Rajiv Shukla... that fellow is a chamcha fellow. He does not like you and me, he is jealous of both of us.

Amar: That is correct.

Reddy: You are a big personality by your own right, you are a leader and also a film maker.

Amar: That is correct.

Reddy: What is he, compared to both of us? Both of us have got some personal position, is it not?

## **The Nehru Dynasty** *(Book Review)*

---

At the very beginning of his book, "The Nehru Dynasty", astrologer K. N. Rao mentions the names of Jawahar Lal's father and grandfather. Jawahar Lal's father was believed to be Moti Lal and Moti Lal's father was one Gangadhar Nehru. And we all know that Jawahar Lal's only daughter was Indira Priyadarshini Nehru; Kamala Nehru was her mother, who died in Switzerland of tuberculosis. She was totally against Indira's proposed marriage with Feroze. Why? No one tells us that!

Now, who is this Feroze? We are told by many that he was the son of the family grocer. The grocer supplied wines, etc. to Anand Bhavan, previously known as Ishrat Manzil, which once belonged to a Muslim lawyer named Mobarak Ali. Moti Lal was earlier an employee of Mobarak Ali. What was the family grocer's name? One frequently hears that Rajiv Gandhi's grandfather was Pandit Nehru. But then we all know that everyone has two grandfathers, the paternal and the maternal grandfathers. In fact, the paternal grandfather is deemed to be the more important grandfather in most societies. Why is it then nowhere we find Rajiv Gandhi's paternal grandfather's name? It appears that the reason is simply this. Rajiv Gandhi's paternal grandfather was a Muslim gentleman from the Junagadh area of Gujarat.

This Muslim grocer by the name of Nawab Khan, had married a Parsi woman after converting her to Islam. This is the source where from the myth of Rajiv being a Parsi was derived. Rajiv's father Feroze was Feroze Khan before he married Indira, against Kamala Nehru's wishes. Feroze's mother's family name was Ghandy, often associated with Parsis and this was changed to Gandhi, sometime before his wedding with Indira, by an affidavit.

The fact of the matter is that (and this fact can be found in many writings) Indira was very lonely. Chased out of the Shantiniketan University by Guru Dev Rabindranath himself for misdemeanor, the lonely girl was all by herself, while father Jawahar was busy with politics, pretty women and illicit sex; the mother was in hospital.

Feroze Khan, the grocer's son was then in England and he was quite sympathetic to Indira and soon enough she changed her religion, became a Muslim woman and married Feroze Khan in a London mosque. Nehru was not happy; Kamala was dead already or dying. The news of this marriage eventually reached Mohandas Karamchand Gandhi. Gandhi urgently called Nehru and practically ordered him to ask the young man to change his name from Khan to Gandhi. It had nothing to do with change of religion, from Islam to Hinduism for instance. It was just a case of a change of name by an affidavit. And so Feroze Khan became Feroze Gandhi.

The surprising thing is that the apostle of truth, the old man soon to be declared India's Mahatma and the 'Father of the Nation' didn't mention this game of his in the famous book, 'My Experiments with Truth'. Why?

When they returned to India, a mock 'Vedic marriage' was instituted for public consumption. On this subject, writes M. O. Mathai (a longtime private secretary of Nehru) in his renowned (but now suppressed by the GOI) 'Reminiscences of the Nehru Age' on page 94, second paragraph: "For some inexplicable reason, Nehru allowed the marriage to be performed according to Vedic rites in 1942. An inter-religious and inter-caste marriage under Vedic rites at that time was not valid in law. To be legal, it had to be a civil marriage.

It's a known fact that after Rajiv's birth Indira and Feroze lived separately, but they were not divorced. Feroze used to harass Nehru frequently for money and also interfere in Nehru's political activities.

Nehru got fed up and left instructions not to allow him into the Prime Minister's residence Trimurthi Bhavan. Mathai writes that the death of Feroze came as a relief to Nehru and Indira. The death of Feroze in 1960 before he could consolidate his own political forces is itself a mystery. Feroze had even planned to remarry.

Those who try to keep tabs on our leaders in spite of all the suppressions and deliberate misinformation are aware of the fact that the second son of Indira (or Mrs. Feroze Khan) known as Sanjay Gandhi was not the son of Feroze. He was the son of another Moslem gentleman, Mohammad Yunus.

Here, in passing, we might mention that the second son was originally named Sanjiv. It rhymed with Rajiv, the elder brother's name. It was changed to Sanjay when the British police in England and his passport impounded, for having stolen a car arrested him. Krishna Menon was then India's High Commissioner in London. He offered to issue another passport to the felon who changed his name to Sanjay.

Incidentally, Sanjay's marriage with the Sikh girl Menaka (now they call her Maneka for Indira Gandhi found the name of Lord Indra's court dancer rather offensive!) took place quite surprisingly in Mohammad Yunus' house in New Delhi. And the marriage with Menaka who was a model (She had modeled for Bombay Dyeing wearing just a towel) was not so ordinary either. Sanjay was notorious in getting unwed young women pregnant. Menaka too was rendered pregnant by Sanjay. It was then that her father, Colonel Anand, threatened Sanjay with dire consequences if he did not marry her daughter. And that did the trick. Sanjay married Menaka.

It was widely reported in Delhi at the time that Mohammad Yunus was unhappy at the marriage of Sanjay with Menaka; apparently he had wanted to get him

married with a Muslim girl of his choice. It was Mohammad Yunus who cried the most when Sanjay died in the plane accident. In Yunus' book, 'Persons, Passions & Politics' one discovers that baby Sanjay had been circumcised following Islamic custom, although the reason stated was phimosis. It was always believed that Sanjay used to blackmail Indira Gandhi and due to this she used to turn a blind eye when Sanjay Gandhi started to run the country as though it were his personal fiefdom. Was he black mailing her with the secret of who his real father was? When the news of Sanjay's death reached Indira Gandhi, the first thing she wanted to know was about the bunch of keys which Sanjay had with him.

Nehru was no less a player in producing bastards. At least one case is very graphically described by M. O. Mathai in his "Reminiscences of the Nehru Age", page 206. Mathai writes: "In the autumn of 1948 (India became free in 1947 and a great deal of work needed to be done) a young woman from Benares arrived in New Delhi as a sanyasin named Shraddha Mata (an assumed and not a real name). She was a Sanskrit scholar well versed in the ancient Indian scriptures and mythology. People, including MPs, thronged to her to hear her discourses.

One day S. D. Upadhyaya, Nehru's old employee, brought a letter in Hindi from Shraddha Mata. Nehru gave her an interview in the PM's house. As she departed, I noticed (Mathai is speaking here) that she was young, shapely and beautiful. Meetings with her became rather frequent, mostly after Nehru finished his work at night. During one of Nehru's visits to Lucknow, Shraddha Mata turned up there, and Upadhyaya brought a letter from her as usual. Nehru sent her the reply; and she visited Nehru at midnight...

"Suddenly Shraddha Mata disappeared. In November 1949 a convent in Bangalore sent a decent looking person to Delhi with a bundle of letters. He said that a young woman from northern India arrived at the convent a few months ago and gave birth to a baby boy. She refused to divulge her name or give any particulars about herself. She left the convent as soon as she was well enough to move out but left the child behind. She however forgot to take with her a small cloth bundle in which, among other things, several letters in Hindi were found. The Mother Superior, who was a foreigner, had the letters examined and was told they were from the Prime Minister. The person who brought the letters surrendered them...

"I (Mathai) made discreet inquiries repeatedly about the boy but failed to get a clue about his whereabouts. Convents in such matters are extremely tightlipped and secretive. Had I succeeded in locating the boy, I would have adopted him. He must have grown up as a Catholic Christian blissfully ignorant of who his father was."

Coming back to Rajiv Gandhi, we all know now that he changed his so called Parsi religion to become a Catholic to marry Sania Maino of Turin, Italy. Rajiv became Roberto. His daughter's name is Bianca and son's name is Raul. Quite

To subscribe please register on....

<http://groups.google.com/group/Hindurakshak>

---

cleverly the same names are presented to the people of India as Priyanka and Rahul. What is amazing is the extent of our people's ignorance in such matters.

The press conference that Rajiv Gandhi gave in London after taking over as prime minister of India was very informative. In this press conference, Rajiv boasted that he was NOT a Hindu but a Parsi. Mind you, speaking of the Parsi religion, he had no Parsi ancestor at all. His grandmother (father's mother) had turned Muslim after having abandoned the Parsi religion to marry Nawab Khan.

It is the western press that waged a blitz of misinformation on behalf of Rajiv. From the New York Times to the Los Angeles Times and the Washington Post, the big guns raised Rajiv to heaven. The children's encyclopedias recorded that Rajiv was a qualified Mechanical Engineer from the revered University of Cambridge. No doubt US kids are among the most misinformed in the world today! The reality is that in all three years of his tenure at that University Rajiv had not passed a single examination. He had therefore to leave Cambridge without a certificate.

Sonia too had the same benevolent treatment. She was stated to be a student in Cambridge. Such a description is calculated to mislead Indians. She was a student in Cambridge all right but not of the University of Cambridge but of one of those fly by night language schools where foreign students come to learn English. Sonia was working as an 'au pair' girl in Cambridge and trying to learn English at the same time. And surprise of surprises, Rajiv was even cremated as per vedic rites in full view of India's public.

This is the Nehru dynasty that India worships and now an Italian leads a prestigious national party because of just one qualification - being married into the Nehru family. Maneka Gandhi itself is being accepted by the non-Congress parties not because she was a former model or an animal lover, but for her links to the Nehru family.

**(If anyone has possession of the books mentioned in above article please write back to [chanakyaquru@hotmail.com](mailto:chanakyaquru@hotmail.com) so that further reviews can be done impartially.)**

## **This is how we treat the infidels in Saudi Arabia:**

(Khalid on [www.faithfreedom.org](http://www.faithfreedom.org))

---

We abuse the non-Muslims living and working in Saudi Arabia all the time, especially the Christians and the Jews.

We call them grandsons of pigs and monkeys. We say this openly, everywhere, particularly in mosques.

We do not respect any religions except Islam. This is the Saudi official policy: all religions other than Islam are false. Only Islam is the true religion. To accomplish this extremely bigoted notion, the Saudi state policy is to convert to Islam as many infidels as possible. So, proselytising for Islam is a major activity of the government and the religious department. Those who convert to Islam are rewarded handsomely. This is a kind of bribe; you might say-to force infidels into Islam. Those preachers and Islamic workers who succeed in converting the loathsome infidels to Islam might also expect to be richly rewarded.

In Saudi Arabia , discrimination against the non-Muslims is absolutely rampant, very clear and open. It starts with aqama (red cards for the non-Muslims and green cards for the Muslims). The rule on taxation is also different for the Muslims and the non-Muslims. This is open, clear and meticulously planned Islamic apartheid which might even surpass the enormity of the apartheid policies of the erstwhile South African government.

In Saudi Arabia , non-Muslims are merely tolerated. They have no right to build any places of worship for their customary religious rituals and practices. Non-Muslims are not allowed to observe their rites, celebrate their religious festivals or even extend congratulations to one another in front of any Saudis. If any infidels dare to carry out his religion openly, he is threatened with immediate deportation from Saudi Arabia .

Non-Muslims living in Saudi Arabia cannot marry any Muslim women. This is completely forbidden.

No non-Saudi could acquire Saudi Nationality either by birth or through naturalisation. Recently, there have been some minor changes to the Citizenship laws, but no non-Muslim could ever be awarded with Saudi citizenship.

Infidels living in Saudi Arabia cannot build their own schools.

Non-Muslims who are lucky enough to live in their own compounds can practice their religious activities in secret, out-of-sight of Muslims. This means they have to live like prisoners in their abodes. They could never invite any Muslims in their homes.

During the month of Ramadan, non-Muslims are instructed by the Saudi authorities to respect the religious feeling of the Muslims. The infidels are ordered not to consume any food in front of Muslims. Any violation of this requirement might result in expulsion from Saudi Arabia of the infidel culprit-merely for eating in the presence of a Muslim. That is why, at the outset, in the month of Ramadan, to show respect to the Muslims, the government announces that if the infidels want to consume food they must do this in hiding, completely out of view of any Muslims. I have a premonition that the Muslims living in Europe, very soon, might demand such a law from the governments of their host countries.

The law of Sharia in Saudi Arabia forces non-Muslim women to wear Muslim costume abaya. If any non-Muslim women refuse to comply with this rule she will be in deep trouble with the enforcement authority.

Saudi authorities exert enormous pressure on the non-Muslims to convert to Islam. I have personally witnessed this forced conversion right in front of my eyes. And, to tell the truth, to the joy of many Wahabi Islamists, the Islamic authority is quite successful in this subtle forced conversion into Wahabi brand of Islam. To perpetuate such religious propaganda and coercion, these events of Wahabi Islam conversions are advertised in the leading newspapers with great fanfare, which includes the photographs of the newly converted Muslims published in the media.

In Saudi Arabia, unless a non-Muslim had converted to Islam, he/she is not eligible to receive any charity (Zakat) whatsoever. That is why many infidels from poor countries are enticed to convert to Islam so that the rich Saudi Muslims could earn Allah's blessings by bestowing charity to these poor converts.

Now, contrast those despicable treatments of non-Muslims in Saudi Arabia with the treatment of Muslims in infidel lands. Saudi Arabia builds mosques all around the world, especially in America and Europe.

Muslims living in infidel lands enjoy full right to marry any women of their choice. They have the right to build their own Islamic Schools.

Muslims are eligible to acquire citizenship either through naturalisation or by birth. Remember, non-Muslims in Saudi Arabia have no such right,

Muslims enjoy full entitlement of proselytising their religion and convert infidels into Islam. True to this spirit, the Muslims in infidel lands are hell-bent to perform just this-they would like to convert all the harami kafirs in infidel countries to Islam

Muslims living in the west can criticise other religions (especially Christianity and

Judaism) to the fullest extent, but kafirs living in Muslim countries are legally bound not to mention a single sentence which is critical of pure, serene Islam-it is blasphemous and punishable by death.

But all those rights enjoyed by the Muslims in western countries are not enough for them. They want more. That is why they perpetrate 9/11 on the innocent people of the USA . That is why very few Muslims had ever criticised Bin Ladin's atrocities on the western people. All those crimes of Bin Laden were holy Islamic acts-in full agreement with the Islamic tenets. That is why majority Muslims are Bin Ladin's supporters. Until today, I have not heard any Imam in Saudi Arabia who had said Bin Ladin is wrong. No Imam has, so far, declared Bin Ladin a non-Muslim or a kafir. All Imams support him-if not openly, then secretly. Being a native of Saudi Arabia and having lived here since my birth, I can certainly vouch of what I say. I hear people's (Saudi) conversation, and they are in praise of Bin Ladin's murder of infidels. I watched on Television a famous Sheikh eulogising Bin Laden, although the Sheikh admitted that Bin Ladin's actions hurt the reputation of Saudi Arabia.

It is absolutely audacious for the Muslims to criticise the French law banning religious symbols in French public schools. Muslim's cry on this is nothing but the practice of pure double-standard. They would not allow non-Muslims to exercise their religious freedom in Islamic countries, but they would expect the kafir lands to respect and uphold their archaic religious (Islamic) symbolism. What sheer nonsensical and hypocritical this demand could be, come to think of it!

To illustrate the utter menace of Islam that Europe faces today, perhaps it would be germane to mention the killing of artist/film director Van Gough in the Netherlands, who was brutally murdered, in broad daylight, by an Islamist Jihadist. I am very certain that in the coming months and years many such murders will be committed by the Muslims living in Europe and America . Many innocent western people will have to die in the hands of Islam, just because they happen to be non-Muslims. I am writing this because I am a Saudi and I know very well what Islam is all about.

I understand that many readers are already aware of the grave peril of Islam to the current civilisation, thanks to the power of Internet. In fact, I did not write anything new. What prompted me to warn the non-Muslims is that: last week I received a number of e-mails listing the Danish products, which I must avoid. I see a great calamity is developing quite fast. I feel that the world is about to face a crisis-a showdown between Islam and the rest of the world. Those non-Muslims who still believe that somewhere there is such a thing called 'peaceful' or 'moderate' Islam; this cartoon incident should be a wake-up call to them.

It could be argued that the cartoons depicting Muhammad might be offensive to some Muslims .This, to me, seems to be fair enough. But then, the cartoons are the work of a single or a few cartoonists or it might be a newspaper. Then, why

To subscribe please register on....

<http://groups.google.com/group/Hindurakshak>

---

should the Muslim world punish the innocent people of Denmark ? Is this a fair treatment? When I ask this question to my friends they become very angry. They told me that entire Europe is the enemy of Islam and all Europeans deserve to be punished. It is because the Europeans would never stop criticising Islam.

So, I told my friends that many Americans and Europeans consider all Muslims to be terrorists-they must be correct in their opinion. They (my friends) cannot refute me; instead, they grow more irate. They even challenge me to be either with them (Muslims) or with the infidels. But I am on neither side. I am on the side of justice and fairness.

We criticise others, but then, we do worse than what we are criticising. Truly, Muslims are dim-witted. They do not realise that the USA and Europe could destroy the entire Muslim population if they were to emulate the Islam's example of apartheid, unfairness and absolute perpetration of hate towards non-Muslims. The Muslims are very lucky that these infidels do not imitate our policies.

Today, Muslims are seeking apology for Muhammad from the government of Denmark.

My question is: who really deserve apology-Muslims or the non-Muslims around the world?

## **Organisational Introduction – Forum on Integrated National Security (FINS)**

*(Sudeep Singh, Sanjay Pote, Dinesh Arya, Saurabh Hirway and Dr. Shiv Kumar Poojari)*

---

### **Budget Analysis 2005-2006 – A successful seminar**

Where all the media channels were harping on the proposed pyrotechnics during the budget and praising Finance Minister post budget without really understanding on what actually has been presented. FINS assimilated lots of strength and consciousness to bring a platform for discussing reality of the budgets through a seminar conducted on March 10, 2006

Knowledgeable points put forth by Mr. Milind Gadgil of FINS preceded the seminar. Distinguished panel of delegates like Air Marshal Dr. Kulkarni, Dr. Sunil Bhandare, Dr. P.R. Joshi and Mr. Suresh Prabhu (Chartered accountant and parliamentarian) put forth their analysis on the Budget.

Dr. Bhandare emphasized greatly on the negativity of the budgetary propositions arguing mainly on the fact that this budget doesn't have much appeal when it talks about Infrastructure developments. Fiscal deficit ratio is also a worrying factor and was also critical of proposed 6<sup>th</sup> pay commission, which has potential to boomerang the GDP growth. Though primarily Dr. Bhandare was critical about the budget but still remained optimistic on the Growth rate.

Dr. Joshi primarily put forth 5 arguments in regards to the budget **Infrastructure development, Agricultural development, Manufacturing Industries, Financial and regulatory Mechanism and Fiscal deficit**. Dr. Joshi considered the budget as a farmer friendly budget and termed it as "Green Budget"

Air Marshal Kulkarni assertively put forth his findings and realities of the Defense budget and expressed his anguish on the declination of the defense budget when actually compared to inflation rate. His strong points on casual approach of the Finance Minister towards defense budget were highly appreciated by the delegates.

Mr. Suresh Prabhu assertively brought lots of arguments to state that there was serious discord in Presidents speech; The Budget speech and finance ministers post speech interviews to media. He aggressively demonstrated his analysis that the present government is merely eye-washing the common man by highlighting the budget as good.

Overall the seminar gave a tertiary view of the Budget, which no other Forum or organization could have even thought about.

To subscribe please register on....

<http://groups.google.com/group/Hindurakshak>

---

Forum On Integrated National Security (FINS) deal with education and information on various issues dealing with Security of our Motherland, ranging from Financial, Social or military. Be a part of this organization to and become aware of pressing issues concerning our Motherland.

**Forum On Integrated National Security (FINS)**

Mumbai Chapter

30/1, Parekh Mahal, L.J. Road, Mahim, Mumbai 400016

Tel: 24379304/24316725